File: M 043 0017

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Company/Mine: Rock Products of Utah / Brown's Canyon Quarry NOV# MN-07-01-01 Permit #: M/043/017 Violation # 1 of 1

<u>SERIOUSNESS</u>		
1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that the event is NOT the same as the violation . Mark and explain each event.	
Explanation:	 a. Activity outside the approved permit area. b. Injury to the public (public safety). c. Damage to property. d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. e. Environmental harm. f. Water pollution. g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. i. No event occurred as a result of the violation. j. Other. During the inspection, it was noted that a 10' X 70' scale (re-enforced concrete padent).	
	and footings) and portable scale house have been installed along the access road to the quarry. These structures were not part of the NOI and there removal is not covered by the current reclamation surety.	
2.	Has the event or damage occurred? <u>Yes</u> If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).	
Explanation:	The scales were installed and were in use during this inspection.	
3.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.	
Explanation:	Scales were installed on a previously disturbed and permitted area.	

B. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).	
	Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.
Explanation:	
	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.
Explanation:	Operator was not aware that he needed to amend his permit to add the scales and scale house with the permit area.
	If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.
Explanation:	
	Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?
Explanation:	
	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.
Explanation:	

Was any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? <u>Unknown</u> If yes explain. - Operating scales on site would give more accurate data as to how much stone was being removed and by whom. Operator would be less likely to have stone removed without being able to identify amount removed and the responsible party. However, operation of the scale (personnel and electricity) does cost.

GOOD FAIT	<u>Н</u>
1.	In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.
Explan	ation:
2.	Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.
Explan	ation:
3.	Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? yes, explain.
Explan	ation: Abatement requires the operator to submit an amendment describing the construction of the scale and the location identified on a permit map.
Lynn Kunzler	April 17, 2007
Authorized Re	presentative Signature Date